

**Criteria for evaluating candidates
in the qualification process to the Doctoral School
[PROGRAMME IN ENGLISH]**

1. Candidate's scientific activities (0-15 points), including:

Publications:	
research monographs	10-15
paper in a scientific journal	5-10
research paper in a student/doctoral journal	1-5
chapter in research monograph	5
editorship in a scientific monograph	5-10
scientific paper accepted for publication (certificate)	1-5
Participation in research conferences:	
active participation in an international conference	5
active participation in a domestic/local conference	3
active participation in a student/doctoral conference	1
Participation in a research project:	5-15
Patent, implementation:	5-15
Other:	0-5

The final score shall be determined by the committee based on the evaluation of the specific achievement within the score shown in the table.

2. Candidate's additional activity (0-5 points)

Score examples:

completed studies in the second field of studies	1-3
work in scientific associations	1-3
achievements within the popularisation of science	1-3
participation in the Erasmus Plus programme	3
participation in student exchange programmes	1-3
awards, distinctions, scholarships	1-5
volunteer work	1-2

The final score shall be determined by the committee based on the evaluation of the specific achievement within the score presented in the table.

3. **Scientific potential of the candidate** (0-30 points)

Parts of the interview:

- presentation of the research project,
- presentation of scientific interests and past scientific achievements,
- discussion about scientific topics related to the thematic scope of the project elected by the candidate.

The following shall be taken into account when evaluating the interview:

- a) ability to formulate and present the research problem in the context of the current status of research in this area (0-10),
- b) proposed solution to the presented research problem (0-10 points),
- c) candidate's substantive knowledge in the light of discussed research or artistic topics (0-5 points),
- d) ability to conduct scientific discussions (0-5 points).

The final evaluation of the interview is the average number of points awarded by each member of the recruitment committee in each of the four categories.